arXiv:1704.05575v1 [math.RT] 19 Apr 2017

PRINCIPAL SERIES FOR GENERAL LINEAR GROUPS OVER FINITE COMMUTATIVE RINGS

TYRONE CRISP, EHUD MEIR, AND URI ONN

Abstract. We construct, for any finite commutative ring R, a family of representations of the general linear group $\mathrm{GL}_n(R)$ whose intertwining properties mirror those of the principal series for GL_n over a finite field.

1. Introduction

Among the irreducible, complex representations of reductive groups over finite fields, the simplest to construct and to classify are the *principal series*: those obtained by Harish-Chandra induction from a minimal Levi subgroup; see, for instance, [HK80]. In this paper we use a generalisation of Harish-Chandra induction to construct a 'principal series' of representations of the group $\mathrm{GL}_n(R)$, where R is any finite commutative ring with identity. Our main results assert that the well-known intertwining relations among the principal series for GL_n over a finite field also hold for the representations that we construct.

The study of the principal series for reductive groups over finite fields can be viewed as the first step in the program to understand all irreducible complex representations of such groups in terms of what Harish-Chandra called the 'philosophy of cusp forms' [HC70, Spr70b]. This program has met with considerable success. The basic ideas appear already in Green's determination [Gre55] of the irreducible characters of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\Bbbk)$, where $\Bbbk$ is a finite field, and these ideas have since been developed and generalised to a very great extent; see [DM91] for an overview.

The theory for groups over finite rings is in a far less advanced state. Most efforts so far have been directed toward groups over principal ideal rings: see [Hil93, Hil95a, Hil95b, Hil94, Lus04, Lus15, Onn08, Sta11, CS16, SS16, KOS16, Sta17].

The present paper is part of a project whose aim is to extend the 'philosophy of cusp forms' to reductive groups over finite rings. Our construction, which is a special case of a general induction procedure developed in [CMO16], extends in a straightforward way to produce more general 'Harish-Chandra series'. The analysis of the intertwining properties of these more general series seems, however, to be substantially more involved than the results for the principal series presented here. See [CMO16, Section 5] and the forthcoming [CMO17] for some partial results in this more general setting.

Notation and definitions. Let R be a finite commutative ring with 1. Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(R)$, let $L \cong (R^\times)^n$ be the subgroup of diagonal matrices in G, and let U and V be the upper-unipotent subgroup and the lower-unipotent subgroup, respectively, in G. We write $G(R)$, $L(R)$, etc., when it is necessary to specify R.

The ring R decomposes as a direct product of local rings: $R \cong R_1 \times \cdots \times R_m$, and this decomposition is unique up to permuting the factors [McD74, Theorem VI.2]. There is a corresponding

Date: April 20, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20G05; Secondary 20C33, 20C15, 20C05.

Key words and phrases. Harish-Chandra induction, principal series, general linear groups, finite commutative rings.

decomposition $G(R) \cong G(R_1) \times \cdots \times G(R_m)$, and similarly for L, U, and V. If R is a local ring then we let $N(R)$ be the subgroup of monomial matrices in $G(R)$, that is, products of permutation matrices with diagonal matrices. If R is not local then we define $N(R) = N(R_1) \times \cdots \times N(R_m)$, where the R_i are the local factors of R as above. Let $W(R) = N(R)/L(R)$. It will be convenient to realise $W(R)$ as a subgroup of $G(R)$, as follows: if R is local, then we identify $W(R)$ with the group of permutation matrices; and in the general case we identify $W(R)$ with the product of the permutation subgroups in $G(R) \cong G(R_1) \times \cdots \times G(R_m)$. Note that following Lemma 4, we will be able to assume without loss of generality that R is a local ring.

If $\chi : L \to \mathrm{GL}(X)$ is a representation of L on a complex vector space X, and if $w \in W$, then we let $w^*\chi$ denote the representation $\chi \circ \mathrm{Ad}_w^{-1} : L \to \mathrm{GL}(X)$. We let $W_\chi = \{w \in W \mid w^*\chi \cong \chi\}$.

For each subgroup $H \subseteq G$ we let e_H denote the idempotent in the complex group ring $\mathbb{C}[G]$ corresponding to the trivial character of H: $e_H = |H|^{-1} \sum_{h \in H} h$. Since L normalises U and V, the idempotents e_U and e_V commute with $\mathbb{C}[L]$ inside $\mathbb{C}[G]$.

We consider the functors

$$\mathrm{i} : \mathrm{Rep}(L) \to \mathrm{Rep}(G) \qquad X \mapsto \mathbb{C}[G]e_U e_V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[L]} X$$

$$\mathrm{r} : \mathrm{Rep}(G) \to \mathrm{Rep}(L) \qquad Y \mapsto e_U e_V \mathbb{C}[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[G]} Y,$$

where $\mathrm{Rep}(G)$ denotes the category of complex representations, identified in the usual way with the category of left $\mathbb{C}[G]$-modules. This is a special case of the construction defined in [CMO16, Section 2], which generalises a definition due to Dat [Dat09]. The functors i and r are two-sided adjoints to one another; see [CMO16, Theorem 2.15] for a proof of this and other basic properties.

Example. If R is a field, then the map $\mathbb{C}[G]e_U \xrightarrow{f \mapsto fe_V} \mathbb{C}[G]e_V$ is known to be an isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}[G]$-$\mathbb{C}[L]$ bimodules; see [HL94, Theorem 2.4]. It follows that the functors i and r are naturally isomorphic to the familiar functors of *Harish-Chandra induction and restriction*, i.e. the functors of tensor product with the bimodules $\mathbb{C}[G]e_U$ and $e_U\mathbb{C}[G]$, respectively. The same is not true if R is not a product of fields. Recycling an example from the introduction of [CMO16], let 1_L denote the trivial representation of L. Then $\mathbb{C}[G]e_U \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[L]} 1_L \cong \mathbb{C}[G/LU]$, with G acting by permutations of G/LU. The number of distinct irreducible subrepresentations in $\mathbb{C}[G/LU]$ depends in a delicate way on the underlying ring R; for instance, for $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})$ with $k \geqslant 2$ and $n \geqslant 3$, this number depends on both p and k [OPV06]. By contrast, it follows from Theorem 2 below that for any R the number of distinct irreducible subrepresentations of $\mathrm{i}\, 1_L$ is equal to $P(n)^m$, where $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(R)$, P is the partition function, and m is the number of maximal ideals in R.

Example. Suppose that R is a finite discrete valuation ring, with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ and residue field $\Bbbk$, and let r be the largest integer such that $\mathfrak{m}^r \neq 0$. Reduction modulo $\mathfrak{m}^r$ gives rise to a group extension

$$0 \to G_r \cong (M_n(\Bbbk), +) \to G(R) \to G(R/\mathfrak{m}^r) \to 0,$$

which one can use to study the representations of $G(R)$ via Clifford theory; see [Hil93], for example. In [Hil95a], Hill identified a class of representations that are particularly amenable to this approach: an irreducible representation π of $G(R)$ is called *regular* if its restriction to G_r contains a character whose stabiliser under the adjoint action of $G(\Bbbk)$ has minimal dimension. Explicit constructions of all such representations are given in [SS16, KOS16]. An application of [CMO16, Theorem 3.4] gives the following criterion for regularity of the induced representations $\mathrm{i}\,\chi$: if χ is an irreducible representation of $L(R)$, then $\mathrm{i}\,\chi$ is regular if and only if the restriction of χ to the subgroup $L(R) \cap G_r \cong \Bbbk^n$ has trivial stabiliser under the permutation action of S_n. Moreover, the representations $\mathrm{i}\,\chi$, for χ satisfying the above condition, account for all of the regular representations associated to the split semisimple classes in $M_n(\Bbbk)$.

2. Main results

We will show that the following well-known properties of the Harish-Chandra functors are shared by the functors i and r for R an arbitrary finite commutative ring.

Theorem 1. *There is a natural isomorphism* $\mathrm{r}\,\mathrm{i} \cong \bigoplus_{w\in W} w^*$ *of functors on* $\mathrm{Rep}(L)$. *Consequently, if* χ *and* σ *are irreducible representations of* L, *then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \left(\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathrm{i}\,\chi, \mathrm{i}\,\sigma)\right) = \#\{w \in W \mid w^*\chi = \sigma\}.$$

When $\sigma = \chi$, we have the following more precise statement:

Theorem 2. *For each irreducible representation* χ *of* L *one has* $\mathrm{End}_G(\mathrm{i}\,\chi) \cong \mathbb{C}[W_\chi]$ *as algebras.*

Let us say that an irreducible representation of G is in the *principal series* if it is isomorphic to a subrepresentation of $\mathrm{i}\,\chi$ for some representation χ of L. Theorems 1 and 2 readily imply the following combinatorial formula for the number of principal series representations. Following [And08], we let $P_k(n)$ denote the number of multipartitions of n with k parts: i.e., the number of k-tuples $(\lambda^{(1)}, \ldots, \lambda^{(k)})$, where each $\lambda^{(i)}$ is a partition of some non-negative integer n_i, and $\sum_i n_i = n$.

Corollary 3. *If* R *is isomorphic to a product* $R_1 \times \cdots \times R_m$ *of finite local rings, and for each* j *we set* $k_j = |R_j^\times|$, *then the principal series of* $\mathrm{GL}_n(R)$ *contains precisely* $\prod_j P_{k_j}(n)$ *distinct isomorphism classes of irreducible representations.*

Remarks.

- In the case where R is a field, Theorems 1 and 2 are essentially due to Green [Gre55]; see [Ste51] for the case $\chi = 1_L$, and see [Spr70a] for an exposition. Both of these results have been generalised to arbitrary Harish-Chandra series for arbitrary reductive groups: see [HC70] and [HL80], respectively.
- Theorems 1 and 2 can be extended, using [CMO16, Theorem 2.15(5)], to the setting of smooth representations of the profinite groups $G(\mathcal{O})$, where $\mathcal{O}$ is the ring of integers in a nonarchimedean local field.

3. Proofs

The first step in the proof of the main results is to reduce to the case of local rings.

Lemma 4. *If Theorems 1 and 2 and Corollary 3 are true for all finite commutative local rings, then they are true for all finite commutative rings.*

Proof. Let R be a finite commutative ring, and write R as a product of local rings $R_1 \times \cdots \times R_m$. All of the groups and the representation categories in Theorems 1 and 2 and in Corollary 3 then decompose into products accordingly: $G(R) \cong G(R_1) \times \cdots \times G(R_m)$, $\mathrm{Rep}(G(R)) \cong \mathrm{Rep}(G(R_1)) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{Rep}(G(R_m))$, and so on. The bimodule $\mathbb{C}[G(R)]e_{U(R)}e_{V(R)}$ decomposes as the tensor product of the bimodules $\mathbb{C}[G(R_j)]e_{U(R_j)}e_{V(R_j)}$, and likewise for $e_{U(R)}e_{V(R)}\mathbb{C}[G(R)]$, so the functors i and r are compatible with the above decompositions. By definition, the group W also decomposes compatibly. Thus Theorems 1 and 2 and Corollary 3 over R follow immediately from the corresponding results over the local factors R_j. □

Assume from now on that R is a local ring. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ denote the maximal ideal of R, and let $\Bbbk$ denote the residue field $R/\mathfrak{m}$. Recall that $W \cong S_n$ is then the group of permutation matrices in G. We write ℓ for the word-length function on W with respect to the standard generating set $S = \{(1\ 2), \ldots, (n-1\ n)\}$.

The following proposition collects the group-theoretical ingredients of the proof of Theorem 1.

Proposition 5.

(a) *The multiplication map $U \times L \times V \to G$ is injective.*
(b) *The reduction-mod-$\mathfrak{m}$ map $G(R) \to G(\Bbbk)$ is surjective.*
(c) *For each subgroup H of G, let H_0 denote the intersection of H with the kernel G_0 of the above reduction homomorphism. Then the multiplication map $U_0 \times L_0 \times V_0 \to G_0$ is a bijection, and the same is true for any ordering of the three factors.*
(d) *For each $w \in W$ the multiplication maps*

$$(U \cap U^w) \times (U \cap V^w) \to U \qquad \text{and} \qquad (V \cap U^w) \times (V \cap V^w) \to V$$

are bijections, where $U^w = w^{-1}Uw$, etc.
(e) *G is the disjoint union $G = \bigsqcup_{w \in W} G_w$, where $G_w = VwLUG_0$.*
(f) *For each $r, t \in W$ with $\ell(t) \leqslant \ell(r)$ and $t \neq r$ one has $ULV \cap t^{-1}Ur = \varnothing$.*

Proof. Parts (a), (b), (c) and (d) are well-known and easily verified. Part (e) follows immediately from the Bruhat decomposition of $G(\Bbbk)$ [CR87, (65.4)].

In part (f) we may assume without loss of generality that R is a field, since $ULV \cap t^{-1}Ur$ is empty if its reduction modulo $\mathfrak{m}$ is empty. Let w_0 denote the longest element $(1, 2, \dots, n) \mapsto (n, \dots, 2, 1)$ of W, and write B for the upper-triangular subgroup LU of G. We will show that under the stated assumptions on t and r one has

$$Bw_0B \cap t^{-1}Brw_0B = \varnothing. \tag{6}$$

Since $ULVw_0 = ULw_0U = Bw_0B$, while $t^{-1}Urw_0 \subseteq t^{-1}Brw_0B$, we see that (6) implies that $ULV \cap t^{-1}Ur = \varnothing$.

To prove (6) we recall (from, e.g., [CR87, (65.10)]) that (B, N, W, S) is a BN-pair in G (note that we are assuming R to be a field). This means, among other things, that $sBx \subseteq BsxB \sqcup BxB$ for all $s \in S$ and all $x \in W$; and that $BxB \cap ByB = \varnothing$ for all $x \neq y \in W$. The proof of (6) is by induction on $\ell(t)$. If $\ell(t) = 0$, so that $t = 1$, then $Bw_0B \cap Brw_0B = \varnothing$ unless $r = 1$. For the inductive step, write $t = st_1$ with $s \in S$ and $\ell(t_1) = \ell(t) - 1$. We then have

$$Bw_0B \cap t^{-1}Brw_0B \subseteq \left(Bw_0B \cap t_1^{-1}Bsrw_0B\right) \sqcup \left(Bw_0B \cap t_1^{-1}Brw_0B\right).$$

We have $\ell(t_1) = \ell(t) - 1 \leqslant \ell(r) - 1 \leqslant \ell(sr)$, and $st_1 \neq r \implies t_1 \neq sr$, so the first term in the union is empty by induction. We have $\ell(t_1) < \ell(t) \leqslant \ell(r)$, and in particular $t_1 \neq r$, so the second term in the union is also empty. This proves (6). □

We equip $\mathbb{C}[G]$ with the Hermitian inner product $\langle \ | \ \rangle$ for which the group elements $g \in G$ constitute an orthonormal basis; and with the conjugate-linear involution $*$ defined on basis elements by $g^* = g^{-1}$. The two structures are related by the identity $\langle abc|d\rangle = \langle b|a^*dc^*\rangle$ for all $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}[G]$. An element $a \in \mathbb{C}[G]$ is called self-adjoint if $a = a^*$.

Lemma 7. *Let A denote the unital subalgebra of $\mathbb{C}[G]$ generated by the idempotents e_U and e_V. There is a self-adjoint, invertible element z in the centre of A such that $z(e_Ue_V)^2 = e_Ue_V$ and $z(e_Ve_U)^2 = e_Ve_U$.*

Proof. This is true for any pair of orthogonal projections on a finite-dimensional Hilbert space: see [Hal69, Theorem 2], for example. □

Remark. If R is a field then [HL94, Theorem 2.4] implies that there is a *unique* element z as in Lemma 7. This is not the case over a general ring.

Lemma 8. *For each $w \in W$ we have $e_Ve_{U^w}e_{V^w} = e_Ve_Ue_{V^w}$.*

Proof. It is clear that $e_V = e_V e_{(V\cap U^w)}$ and similarly that $e_U = e_{(U\cap U^w)}e_U$. Proposition 5(d) gives $e_{(V\cap U^w)}e_{(U\cap U^w)} = e_{U^w}$, and it follows that $e_V e_U = e_V e_{U^w} e_U$. The same reasoning gives $e_{U^w}e_{V^w} = e_{U^w}e_U e_{V^w}$, and so $e_V e_U e_{V^w} = e_V e_{U^w} e_U e_{V^w} = e_V e_{U^w} e_{V^w}$. □

Lemma 9. *For each $w \in W$ the map*

$$\varphi_w : e_{U^w}e_{V^w}\mathbb{C}[G] \xrightarrow{x\mapsto e_V x} e_V e_U \mathbb{C}[G]$$

is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}[L]$-$\mathbb{C}[G]$ bimodules.

Proof. The following argument is taken from [Dat09, Lemme 2.9]. The map φ_w is well-defined, because

$$e_V e_{U^w} e_{V^w}\mathbb{C}[G] = e_V e_U e_{V^w}\mathbb{C}[G] \subseteq e_V e_U \mathbb{C}[G]$$

by Lemma 8. The map φ_w is injective, because for each $f \in \mathbb{C}[G]$ we have

$$w^{-1}zwe_{U^w}e_{V^w}\,(e_V e_{U^w}e_{V^w} f) = z^w(e_{U^w}e_{V^w})^2 f = e_{U^w}e_{V^w}f$$

where z is as in Lemma 7, and in the first equality we used that $V = (V\cap V^w)(V\cap U^w)$. The domain and target of φ_w are isomorphic as vector spaces: indeed, $e_V e_U\mathbb{C}[G] = w_0 w e_{U^w}e_{V^w}\mathbb{C}[G]$, where w_0 is the longest element of W. Since φ_w is injective it is thus also an isomorphism. □

For each subset $K \subseteq G$, we let $\mathbb{C}[K]$ denote the vector subspace of $\mathbb{C}[G]$ spanned by K.

Proposition 10. *For each $w \in W$ the map*

$$\Phi : \mathbb{C}[wL] \to e_U e_V \mathbb{C}[G_w] e_U e_V \qquad wl \mapsto e_U e_V wl e_U e_V$$

is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}[L]$-bimodules.

Proof. Φ is clearly a bimodule map. Let us show that it is injective. For $h \in \mathbb{C}[L]$ we have

$$\Phi(wh) = e_U e_V e_{U^{w^{-1}}} e_{V^{w^{-1}}} wh.$$

The maps

$$e_{U^{w^{-1}}} e_{V^{w^{-1}}}\mathbb{C}[G] \xrightarrow{x\mapsto e_V x} e_V e_U \mathbb{C}[G]$$

and

$$e_V e_U \mathbb{C}[G] \xrightarrow{x\mapsto e_U x} e_U e_V \mathbb{C}[G]$$

are isomorphisms by Lemma 9, so we are left to prove that the map

$$wh \mapsto e_{U^{w^{-1}}} e_{V^{w^{-1}}} wh = we_U h e_V$$

is injective on $\mathbb{C}[wL]$. It is, because Proposition 5(a) implies that the cosets UlV are all disjoint as l ranges over L. Thus Φ is injective.

To prove that Φ is surjective, first note that $G_w = VwLG_0U$ because G_0 is normal in G. Since $e_V v = e_V$ and $ue_U = e_U$ for all $v \in V$ and $u \in U$, we find that $e_U e_V \mathbb{C}[G_w] e_U e_V$ is spanned by elements of the form $e_U e_V wlg e_U e_V$, where $l \in L$ and $g \in G_0$. We will show that each element of this form is in the image of Φ.

For each $x \in V^w$ we have

$$gx = x(x^{-1}gx) \in V^w G_0 = V^w(V_0^w L_0 U_0^w) = V^w L_0 U_0^w$$

by Proposition 5(c). Let $\alpha : V^w \to V^w$, $\beta : V^w \to L_0$ and $\gamma : V^w \to U_0^w$ be the (unique) functions satisfying $gx = \alpha(x)\beta(x)\gamma(x)$ for all $x \in V^w$. Writing $e_U = e_{U\cap V^w}e_{U\cap U^w}$ and $e_V = e_{V\cap U^w}e_{V\cap V^w}$,

we then have

$$\begin{aligned} e_V wlge_U e_V &= e_V wlge_{U\cap V^w} e_{U\cap U^w} e_{V\cap U^w} e_{V\cap V^w} \\ &= e_V wlg \left(|U\cap V^w|^{-1} \sum_{x\in U\cap V^w} x \right) e_{U^w} e_{V\cap V^w} \\ &= |U\cap V^w|^{-1} \sum_{x\in U\cap V^w} e_V wl\alpha(x)\beta(x)\gamma(x) e_{U^w} e_{V\cap V^w}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\gamma(x) \in U^w$ we have $\gamma(x)e_{U^w} = e_{U^w}$ for each $x \in U \cap V^w$. Since $\alpha(x) \in V^w$ we have $wl\alpha(x)l^{-1}w^{-1} \in V$, and consequently $e_V wl\alpha(x) = e_V wl$ for each x. Continuing the computation with the space-saving notation $h = |U\cap V^w|^{-1}\sum_{x\in U\cap V^w} l\beta(x) \in \mathbb{C}[L]$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} e_V wlge_U e_V &= e_V whe_{U^w} e_{V\cap V^w} = e_V e_{U^{w^{-1}}\cap V} whe_{U^w} e_{V\cap V^w} \\ &= e_V whe_{U\cap V^w} e_{U\cap U^w} e_{V\cap U^w} e_{V\cap V^w} = e_V whe_U e_V, \end{aligned}$$

and so $e_U e_V wlve_U e_V = \Phi(wh)$. □

Proposition 11. *The set $\{e_U e_V wle_U e_V \in \mathbb{C}[G] \mid w \in W,\ l \in L\}$ is linearly independent.*

Proof. We know from Proposition 10 that for each $w \in W$ the set $\{e_U e_V wle_U e_V \mid l \in L\}$ is linearly independent. We must show that for different choices of w these sets are independent from one another.

Suppose we had elements $h_w \in \mathbb{C}[L]$, not all zero, with $\sum_{w\in W} e_U e_V wh_w e_U e_V = 0$. Let $t \in W$ be an element of minimal length such that h_t is nonzero. To compactify the notation we shall write $y = t^{-1}$.

Let z be as in Lemma 7, and write $\zeta = y^{-1}zy$. Thus ζ is a self-adjoint, invertible element of $\mathbb{C}[G]$ which commutes with e_{U^y} and e_{V^y} and which satisfies $\zeta(e_{U^y}e_{V^y})^2 = e_{U^y}e_{V^y}$. For each $r \in W$ with $r \neq t$ such that $h_r \neq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\big\langle \zeta^2 e_{U^y}(e_U e_V th_t e_U e_V) \big| e_U e_V rh_r e_U e_V \big\rangle = \big\langle \zeta^2 e_{U^y} e_U e_V e_{U^y} e_{V^y} th_t \big| rh_r e_{U^r} e_{V^r} e_U e_V \big\rangle \\ &= \big\langle \zeta^2 e_{U^y} e_U e_V e_{U^y} e_{V^y} th_t e_V e_U e_{V^r} e_{U^r} h_r^* \big| r \big\rangle = \big\langle \zeta^2 e_{U^y} e_U e_V e_{U^y} e_{V^y} e_{U^y} e_{V^{ry}} e_{U^{ry}} th_t h_r^* \big| r \big\rangle \\ &= \big\langle \zeta^2 e_{U^y} e_U e_{V^y} e_{U^y} e_{V^y} e_{U^y} e_{V^y} e_{U^{ry}} th_t h_r^* \big| r \big\rangle = \big\langle \zeta^2 (e_{U^y} e_{V^y})^3 e_{U^{ry}} th_t h_r^* \big| r \big\rangle \\ &= \big\langle e_{U^y} e_{V^y} e_{U^{ry}} th_t h_r^* \big| r \big\rangle = \big\langle te_U h_t h_r^* e_V e_{U^r} \big| r \big\rangle = \big\langle e_U h_t h_r^* e_V \big| t^{-1} e_U r \big\rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have repeatedly used the equality $\langle abc|d\rangle = \langle b|a^*dc^*\rangle$; in the fourth step we used Lemma 8 to replace $e_U e_V e_{U^y}$ with $e_U e_{V^y} e_{U^y}$ and to replace $e_{U^y} e_{V^{ry}} e_{U^{ry}}$ with $e_{U^y} e_{V^y} e_{U^{ry}}$; in the fifth step we used Proposition 5(d) to write $e_{U^y} e_U e_{V^y} = e_{U^y} e_{V^y}$; and in the final equality we used Proposition 5(f), which applies because of the minimality of $\ell(t)$, and which implies that the functions $e_U h_t h_r^* e_V$ and $t^{-1} e_U r$ are supported on disjoint subsets of G and are therefore orthogonal.

It follows from this that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left\langle \zeta^2 e_{U^y} e_U e_V th_t e_U e_V \,\middle|\, \sum_{w\in W} e_U e_V wh_w e_U e_V \right\rangle \\ &= \big\langle \zeta^2 e_{U^y} e_U e_V th_t e_U e_V \big| e_U e_V th_t e_U e_V \big\rangle \\ &= \big\langle \zeta e_{U^y} e_U e_V th_t e_U e_V \big| \zeta e_{U^y} e_U e_V th_t e_U e_V \big\rangle \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality holds because ζ is self-adjoint, e_{U^y} is a self-adjoint idempotent, and ζ and e_{U^y} commute. Thus $\zeta e_{U^y} e_U e_V th_t e_U e_V = 0$. Since ζ is invertible, and left multiplication by e_{U^y} is injective on $e_U e_V \mathbb{C}[G]$ (Lemma 9), we conclude that $e_U e_V th_t e_U e_V = 0$. By Proposition 10 this implies that $h_t = 0$, contradicting our choice of t and completing the proof of the proposition. □

Proof of Theorem 1. The functor $\mathrm{r}\,\mathrm{i}$ is naturally isomorphic to the functor of tensor product (over $\mathbb{C}[L]$) with the $\mathbb{C}[L]$-bimodule $e_U e_V \mathbb{C}[G] e_U e_V$, while the functor $\bigoplus_{w\in W} w^*$ is naturally isomorphic to the tensor product with the bimodule $\mathbb{C}[W \ltimes L]$. Since $G = \bigsqcup G_w$ we have

$$e_U e_V \mathbb{C}[G] e_U e_V = \sum_{w\in W} e_U e_V \mathbb{C}[G_w] e_U e_V.$$

Proposition 10 thus implies that the $\mathbb{C}[L]$-bimodule map

$$\mathbb{C}[W \ltimes L] = \bigoplus_{w\in W} \mathbb{C}[wL] \xrightarrow{h\mapsto e_U e_V h e_U e_V} e_U e_V \mathbb{C}[G] e_U e_V$$

is surjective. Proposition 11 implies that this map is injective, so it is an isomorphism of bimodules, and induces a natural isomorphism of functors $\mathrm{r}\,\mathrm{i} \cong \bigoplus w^*$. The formula for the intertwining number follows from this isomorphism and from the fact that i and r are adjoints. □

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 2. Every irreducible representation χ of the abelian group $L \cong (R^\times)^n$ has the form

$$\chi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \chi_n : \mathrm{diag}(r_1, \ldots, r_n) \mapsto \chi_1(r_1) \cdots \chi_n(r_n)$$

where each χ_i is a linear character $R^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$. For each such χ we let $e_\chi = |L|^{-1} \sum_{l\in L} \chi(l)^{-1} l$ be the corresponding primitive central idempotent in $\mathbb{C}[L]$.

Lemma 12. *The algebra* $\mathrm{End}_G(\mathrm{i}\,\chi)$ *is isomorphic to the subalgebra* $e_\chi e_U e_V \mathbb{C}[G] e_U e_V e_\chi$ *of* $\mathbb{C}[G]$.

Proof. We have

$$\mathrm{i}\,\chi \cong \mathbb{C}[G] e_U e_V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[L]} \mathbb{C}[L] e_\chi \cong \mathbb{C}[G] e_U e_V e_\chi = \mathbb{C}[G] z e_U e_V e_\chi$$

where z is as in Lemma 7. Since $z e_U e_V$ and e_χ are commuting idempotents in $\mathbb{C}[G]$, their product $E = z e_U e_V e_\chi$ is an idempotent and we have $\mathrm{End}_G(\mathbb{C}[G]E) \cong (E\mathbb{C}[G]E)^{\mathrm{opp}}$ via the action of $E\mathbb{C}[G]E$ on $\mathbb{C}[G]E$ by right multiplication. Now $E\mathbb{C}[G]E$ is a finite-dimensional complex semisimple algebra, so it is isomorphic to its opposite, and we have $E\mathbb{C}[G]E = e_\chi e_U e_V \mathbb{C}[G] e_U e_V e_\chi$. □

Lemma 13. *For the trivial representation* 1_L *of* L *we have* $\mathrm{End}_G(\mathrm{i}\,1_L) \cong \mathbb{C}[W]$ *as algebras.*

Proof. First suppose that R is a field, so that the functor i is isomorphic to the functor of Harish-Chandra induction. Then, as we noted above, $\mathrm{i}\,1_L$ is isomorphic to the permutation representation on $\mathbb{C}[G/LU]$, and the isomorphism $\mathrm{End}_G(\mathrm{i}\,1_L) \cong \mathbb{C}[W]$ is a special case of well-known results of Iwahori-Matsumoto and Tits (see [CR87, §68] for an exposition).

Now let R be a local ring with residue field $\Bbbk$. The quotient map $R \to \Bbbk$ induces a surjective map of algebras

$$e_{L(R)} e_{U(R)} e_{V(R)} \mathbb{C}[G(R)] e_{U(R)} e_{V(R)} e_{L(R)} \longrightarrow e_{L(\Bbbk)} e_{U(\Bbbk)} e_{V(\Bbbk)} \mathbb{C}[G(\Bbbk)] e_{U(\Bbbk)} e_{V(\Bbbk)} e_{L(\Bbbk)}. \tag{14}$$

Theorem 1 implies that the domain of (14) is isomorphic as a vector space to $\mathbb{C}[W]$, while we have just seen that the range of (14) is isomorphic as an algebra to $\mathbb{C}[W]$. Since (14) is surjective, it is an algebra isomorphism. □

Remark. The isomorphism in Lemma 13 is not canonical. One can trace through the various maps appearing in the proof to construct a set of Iwahori-Hecke generators of $e_L e_U e_V \mathbb{C}[G] e_U e_V e_L$, although this will depend on the choice of an element z as in Lemma 7.

Lemma 15. *If* $\chi = \chi_1^n$ *is a tensor-multiple of a single character of* $R^\times$*, then* $\mathrm{End}_G(\mathrm{i}\,\chi) \cong \mathrm{End}_G(\mathrm{i}\,1_L)$ *as algebras.*

Proof. The character χ of L extends to a character $g \mapsto \chi_1(\det g)$ of G, and induces an algebra automorphism

$$\mathbb{C}[G] \to \mathbb{C}[G], \qquad g \mapsto \chi_1(\det g)g. \tag{16}$$

This automorphism sends e_χ to e_L, and fixes e_U and e_V. Thus (16) induces an isomorphism of algebras

$$\mathrm{End}_G(\mathrm{i}\,\chi) \cong e_\chi e_U e_V \mathbb{C}[G] e_U e_V e_\chi \xrightarrow{\cong} e_L e_U e_V \mathbb{C}[G] e_U e_V e_L \cong \mathrm{End}_G(\mathrm{i}\,1_L). \qquad \square$$

Lemma 17. *For each $w \in W$ there is a natural isomorphism of functors $\mathrm{i} \circ w^* \cong \mathrm{i}$.*

Proof. The functor $\mathrm{i} \circ w^*$ is given by tensor product with the $\mathbb{C}[G]$-$\mathbb{C}[L]$ bimodule $\mathbb{C}[G]e_U e_V w = \mathbb{C}[G]e_{U^w} e_{V^w}$, while the functor i is given by tensor product with $\mathbb{C}[G]e_U e_V$. These two bimodules are isomorphic, by Lemma 9. $\square$

Lemma 17 implies that in order to compute the intertwining algebra $\mathrm{End}_G(\mathrm{i}\,\chi)$ for an arbitrary character χ of L we may permute the factors χ_i so that χ takes the form

$$\chi = \chi_1^{n_1} \otimes \chi_2^{n_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \chi_k^{n_k} \qquad \text{where} \qquad \chi_j \neq \chi_i \text{ unless } j = i. \tag{18}$$

(The exponents indicate tensor powers.) We then have $W_\chi \cong S_{n_1} \times \cdots \times S_{n_k}$.

In the next lemma we shall consider general linear groups of different sizes, and we shall accordingly embellish the notation with subscripts to indicate the size of the matrices involved: so, for example, L_a denotes the diagonal subgroup in $G_a = \mathrm{GL}_a(R)$, and i_a is a functor from $\mathrm{Rep}(L_a)$ to $\mathrm{Rep}(G_a)$.

Lemma 19. *If χ is as in* (18) *then $\mathrm{End}_{G_n}(\mathrm{i}_n\,\chi) \cong \bigotimes_{j=1}^k \mathrm{End}_{G_{n_j}}\left(\mathrm{i}_{n_j}(\chi_j^{n_j})\right)$ as algebras.*

Proof. Let us write L' for the block-diagonal subgroup $G_{n_1} \times \cdots \times G_{n_k} \subseteq G_n$, which contains as subgroups the groups $U' = U_{n_1} \times \cdots \times U_{n_k}$ and $V' = V_{n_1} \times \cdots \times V_{n_k}$. Let U'' be the subgroup of block-upper-unipotent matrices

$$U'' = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1_{n_1 \times n_1} & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 1_{n_k \times n_k} \end{bmatrix} \in G_n \right\},$$

and let $V'' = (U'')^{\mathrm{t}}$ be the corresponding group of block-lower-unipotent matrices.

Let $\mathrm{i}' : \mathrm{Rep}(L') \to \mathrm{Rep}(G_n)$ be the functor of tensor product with the $\mathbb{C}[G_n]$-$\mathbb{C}[L']$ bimodule $\mathbb{C}[G_n]e_{U''}e_{V''}$. The semidirect product decompositions $U = U_n = U' \ltimes U''$ and $V = V_n = V' \ltimes V''$ give equalities $e_U = e_{U'}e_{U''}$ and $e_V = e_{V'}e_{V''}$, and hence an isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}[G_n]$-$\mathbb{C}[L_n]$ bimodules

$$\mathbb{C}[G_n]e_{U_n}e_{V_n} \cong \mathbb{C}[G_n]e_{U''}e_{V''} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[L']} \mathbb{C}[L']e_{U'}e_{V'}.$$

It follows that

$$\mathrm{i}_n\,\chi \cong \mathrm{i}'\left(\bigotimes_{j=1}^k \mathrm{i}_{n_j}(\chi_j^{n_j})\right).$$

Since i' is a functor, we obtain from this isomorphism a map of algebras

$$\mathrm{i}' : \bigotimes_{j=1}^k \mathrm{End}_{G_{n_j}}\left(\mathrm{i}_{n_j}(\chi_n^{n_j})\right) \to \mathrm{End}_{G_n}(\mathrm{i}_n\,\chi). \tag{20}$$

Now, the $\mathbb{C}[L']$-bimodule map

$$\mathbb{C}[L'] \to \mathbb{C}[G_n]e_{U''}e_{V''}, \qquad h \mapsto he_{U''}e_{V''}$$

is injective, because the multiplication map $L' \times U'' \times V'' \to G_n$ is one-to-one. It follows from this that the identity functor on $\mathrm{Rep}(L')$ is a subfunctor of $\mathrm{Res}^{G_n}_{L'} \circ \mathrm{i}'$. Thus i' is a faithful functor, and in particular the map (20) is injective. Since the domain and the range of this map have the same dimension as complex vector spaces, by Theorem 1, we conclude that (20) is an algebra isomorphism. □

Proof of Theorem 2. Lemma 17 allows us to assume that χ has the form (18), and in this case we have algebra isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{End}_G(\mathrm{i}\,\chi) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\text{Lem. 19}} \bigotimes_j \mathrm{End}_{G_{n_j}}\left(\mathrm{i}_{n_j}(\chi_j^{n_j})\right) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\text{Lem. 15}} \bigotimes_j \mathrm{End}_{G_{n_j}}(\mathrm{i}_{n_j}\,1_{L_{n_j}}) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\text{Lem. 13}} \bigotimes_j \mathbb{C}[S_{n_j}] \cong \mathbb{C}[W_\chi].$$

□

Proof of Corollary 3. Choose an ordering $\{\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_k\}$ of the character group $\widehat{R^\times}$. Lemma 17 and the intertwining number formula in Theorem 1 imply that for each principal series representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_n(R)$ there is a unique k-tuple of non-negative integers $n_1, \ldots, n_k$ having $\sum_i n_i = n$, such that π embeds in $\mathrm{i}(\chi_1^{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \chi_k^{n_k})$.

Theorem 2 implies that the number of distinct irreducible subrepresentations of $\mathrm{i}(\chi_1^{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \chi_k^{n_k})$ is equal to the number of distinct irreducible representations of $S_{n_1} \times \cdots \times S_{n_k}$. The latter number is equal to the number of k-tuples $(\lambda^{(1)}, \ldots, \lambda^{(k)})$, where each $\lambda^{(i)}$ is a partition of n_i. Allowing the exponents n_i to vary shows that the total number of principal series representations is equal to $P_k(n)$, as claimed. □

Acknowledgments. The first and second authors were partly supported by the Danish National Research Foundation through the Centre for Symmetry and Deformation (DNRF92). Part of this work was done while the first author was a guest of the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in Bonn. The second author was also supported by the Research Training Group 1670 "Mathematics Inspired by String Theory and Quantum Field Theory." The third author acknowledges the support of the Israel Science Foundation and of the Australian Research Council.

References

[And08] G. E. Andrews. A survey of multipartitions: congruences and identities. In *Surveys in number theory*, volume 17 of *Dev. Math.*, pages 1–19. Springer, New York, 2008.

[CMO16] T. Crisp, E. Meir, and U. Onn. A variant of Harish-Chandra functors. To appear in *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu.* arXiv:1607.04486 [math.RT], 2016.

[CMO17] T. Crisp, E. Meir, and U. Onn. Induced representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})$. In preparation, 2017.

[CR87] C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner. *Methods of representation theory. Vol. II.* Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York). John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1987.

[CS16] Z. Chen and A. Stasinski. The algebraisation of higher Deligne–Lusztig representations. arXiv:1604.01615 [math.RT], 2016.

[Dat09] J.-F. Dat. Finitude pour les représentations lisses de groupes p-adiques. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 8(2):261–333, 2009.

[DM91] F. Digne and J. Michel. *Representations of finite groups of Lie type*, volume 21 of *London Mathematical Society Student Texts*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.

[Gre55] J. A. Green. The characters of the finite general linear groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 80:402–447, 1955.

[Hal69] P. R. Halmos. Two subspaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 144:381–389, 1969.

[HC70] Harish-Chandra. Eisenstein series over finite fields. In *Functional analysis and related fields (Proc. Conf. M. Stone, Univ. Chicago, Chicago, Ill., 1968)*, pages 76–88. Springer, New York, 1970.

[Hil93] G. Hill. A Jordan decomposition of representations for $\mathrm{GL}_n(O)$. *Comm. Algebra*, 21(10):3529–3543, 1993.

[Hil94] G. Hill. On the nilpotent representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})$. *Manuscripta Math.*, 82(3-4):293–311, 1994.

[Hil95a] G. Hill. Regular elements and regular characters of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})$. *J. Algebra*, 174(2):610–635, 1995.

[Hil95b] G. Hill. Semisimple and cuspidal characters of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})$. *Comm. Algebra*, 23(1):7–25, 1995.

[HK80] R. B. Howlett and R. W. Kilmoyer. Principal series representations of finite groups with split BN pairs. *Comm. Algebra*, 8(6):543–583, 1980.
[HL80] R. B. Howlett and G. I. Lehrer. Induced cuspidal representations and generalised Hecke rings. *Invent. Math.*, 58(1):37–64, 1980.
[HL94] R. B. Howlett and G. I. Lehrer. On Harish-Chandra induction and restriction for modules of Levi subgroups. *J. Algebra*, 165(1):172–183, 1994.
[KOS16] R. Krakovski, U. Onn, and P. Singla. Regular characters of groups of type A_n over discrete valuation rings. arXiv:1604.00712 [math.RT], 2016.
[Lus04] G. Lusztig. Representations of reductive groups over finite rings. *Represent. Theory*, 8:1–14, 2004.
[Lus15] G. Lusztig. Generic character sheaves on groups over $k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^r)$. arxiv:1508:05015 [math.RT], 2015.
[McD74] B. R. McDonald. *Finite rings with identity*. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1974. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 28.
[Onn08] U. Onn. Representations of automorphism groups of finite $\mathfrak{o}$-modules of rank two. *Adv. Math.*, 219(6):2058–2085, 2008.
[OPV06] U. Onn, A. Prasad, and L. Vaserstein. A note on Bruhat decomposition of $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ over local principal ideal rings. *Comm. Algebra*, 34(11):4119–4130, 2006.
[Spr70a] T. A. Springer. Characters of special groups. In *Seminar on Algebraic Groups and Related Finite Groups (The Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, N.J., 1968/69)*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 131, pages 121–166. Springer, Berlin, 1970.
[Spr70b] T. A. Springer. Cusp forms for finite groups. In *Seminar on Algebraic Groups and Related Finite Groups (The Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, N.J., 1968/69)*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 131, pages 97–120. Springer, Berlin, 1970.
[SS16] A. Stasinski and S. Stevens. The regular representations of GL_N over finite local principal ideal rings. arXiv:1611.04796 [math.RT], 2016.
[Sta11] A. Stasinski. Extended Deligne-Lusztig varieties for general and special linear groups. *Adv. Math.*, 226(3):2825–2853, 2011.
[Sta17] A. Stasinski. Representations of GL_N over finite local principal ideal rings - an overview. arXiv:1704.03661 [math.RT], April 2017.
[Ste51] R. Steinberg. A geometric approach to the representations of the full linear group over a Galois field. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 71:274–282, 1951.

Department of Mathematics, Radboud University Nijmegen. PO Box 9010, 6500 GL Nijmegen, The Netherlands
E-mail address: t.crisp@math.ru.nl

Department of Mathematics, University of Hamburg, Bundesstr. 55, 20146 Hamburg, Germany
E-mail address: meirehud@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics, Ben Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva 84105, Israel
E-mail address: urionn@math.bgu.ac.il